



Canterbury Earthquakes Royal Commission Te Komihana Rūwhenua a te Karauna

17 November 2011

Simon Wall Structural Engineer Alan Reay Consultants Ltd PO Box 3911 CHRISTCHURCH

Attention: Simon Wall

By Email: swall@arcl.co.nz

Dear Mr Wall

187 St Asaph Street/593 Colombo Street

The Royal Commission of Inquiry into building failure caused by the Canterbury earthquakes is currently examining the failure of a number of buildings, including the building that was situated at the above address. This building was on the north-west corner of St Asaph and Colombo Streets.

You will see from the **attached** Level 1 Rapid Assessment forms that you conducted inspections of the building (and others to the north on Colombo St) on 5 September 2010.

Could you please provide the following information to the commission by 25 November 2011:

- 1. Presumably, the reason you placarded the same building differently for the two street addresses 187 St Asaph St / 593 Colombo St was because they appeared to be separate tenancies and had sustained separate levels of damage? Could you please confirm the position.
- 2. I presume that the Level 1 Rapid Assessment inspections were exterior only and would not have involved much time? Please confirm.
- 3. I note in relation to the Colombo Street buildings that you assessed 593-599A together. What was the reason for this?
- 4. In making your assessments did you take into account the possibility of further aftershocks and the effect that they might have on these buildings? If so, please provide details.

The above information is requested pursuant to the Royal Commission's powers of investigation under s 4C Commissions of Inquiry Act 1908.

Thank you for your assistance. If you have any queries please contact the writer (phone: 741-3014, email: mark.zarifeh@royalcommission.govt.nz)

Yours faithfully

Mark Zarifeh Counsel Assisting Canterbury Earthquakes Royal Commission

Inspection ID

(Office Use Only)

AG. Christchurch Eq. RAPID Assessment Form - LEV Inspector inklais 400 Date of Inspection Exterior Only Christchurch City Exterior and Interior Territorial Authority Time **Building Name** Short Name Type of Construction Address ☐ Timber frame Concrete shear wall Unreinforced mesonry ☐ Steel frame **GPS Co-ordinates** Reinforced masonry 80 E• Till-up concrete Contact Name ☐ Concrete frame Confined masonry Contact Phyria RC frame with masonry infill П Other: Primary Occupancy Storeys at and above Below ground 2 Commercial/ Offices ground level Dwelling ievel Total gross floor erea Year 800 Other residential Industrial built Public assembly Government No of residential Units School Heritage Listed Photo Taken Religious Other Yes No Investigate the building for the conditions listed below: Overall Hazards / Damage Minor/Ngne Moderate Comments Severe Collapse, partial collapse, off foundation Ø Building or storey leaning **(3**/ Wall or other structural damage Overhead falling hazard Ū' Ground movement, settlement, stips Neighbouring building hazard **⊡**′ Other Choose a posting based on the evaluation and team judgement. Severe conditions affecting the whole building are grounds for an UNSAFE posting. Localleed Severe and overall Moderate conditions may require a RESTRICTED USE. Place INSPECTED placard at main entrance. Post all other placards at every significant entrance. UNSAFE RESTRICTED USE INSPECTED YELLOW V GREEN RED Record any restriction on use or entry: Further Action Recommended: .Tick the boxes below only if further actions are recommended ☐ Barricades are needed (state localion): Level 2 or detailed angineering evaluation recommended Other: ☐ Structural ☐ Geotechnical Other recommendations: Estimated Overall Building Damage (Exclude Contents) Sign here on completion None 0-1 % 31-60 % 2-10 % 61-99 % Date & Time 11-30 % 100 % ID KW 518

Christchurch Eq. RAPID Assessment Form - LEVEL 1

12/9/10 Inenector Initials

Territorial Authority	Christohu		Time	pecuo		10010		erior Only erior and Interior	<u> </u>
Building Name Short Name Address	Variou :	building Colom	ds loo	Туре	of Constru			Concrete shear wall	$\overline{}$
GPS Co-ordinates Contact Name Contact Phone	Sº .	Eº			Steel frame Tilt-up cone Concrete fr	crete	1000	Unreinforced masonry Reinforced masonry Confined masonry Other:	
Storeys at and above ground level Total gross floor area	2 2000	Below ground level Year built	0	Prim	ary Occupa Dwelling Other resid	ncy		Commercial/ Offices	
No of residential Units Photo Taken	8 (Yes)				Public asse School Religious	embly		Government Heritage Listed Other	
Investigate the building fo Overall Hazards / Dama Collapse, partial collapse, of	je	ns listed below: Minor/None	Moderate	9	Severe			Comments	
Building or storey leaning Wall or other structural dama Overhead falling hazard	age	র র্ ত				Muss c	ر در در امرین	we of M	9100.54 5 (1100/br
Ground movement, settlement Neighbouring building hazard Other	•	(3) (3) (1)				Soffit of	V- U-J(t	evandenh or cracking	buckled in one ted
	Localised Sev	ere and overall (Moderate co	nditio	one may req			building are grounds for Place INSPECTED placa	
Record any restri	INSPECTE:		•		TRICTED (YELL	***	•	UNSAFE RED	
Further Action Re Tick the boxes bel Barricades are Level 2 or det	low <u>only</u> if furth needed (state alled engineeri uctural	er actions are rec location): ng evaluation reco				Other:)	
None O-1 % O-1 % O-1 % O-1 % O-1 % O-1 -30 % O		Exclude Conten 31-60 % 81-99 % 100 %		81, bin	296 3 OP	Date Firm	~	here on completion No. (1 1:20 pr. 5/	7/10

Inspection ID SSNS (Office Use Only)



77 Spencer Street Addington Christchurch

25 November 2011

Mark Zarifeh
Canterbury Earthquakes Royal Commission
PO Box 14053
Christchurch Mail Centre
Christchurch 8544

Email: mark.zarifeh@royalcommission.govt.nz

Dear Mr Zarifeh

187 St Asaph Street - 593 Colombo Street

I refer to your letter dated 17 November 2011.

As you may be aware, I carried out the assessments you have asked about as a volunteer in my personal capacity to assist Civil Defence in the immediate aftermath of the September earthquake. In respect of the matters you refer to neither Alan Reay Consultants Ltd nor I through that company were engaged to provide engineering services or advice.

In that context, I respond to your questions, adopting your numbering:

- 1. Your assumption is correct.
- 2. Generally the Level 1 Rapid Assessments were exterior only but in some cases, where access was available and appropriate, the interior may also have been inspected. Your second assumption is correct.
- Each of the buildings at 593-599A were separately assessed. Each was issued with its
 own placard of the same status. The results of the separate inspections were recorded
 together in one Assessment Form for ease of documentation.
- 4. The Level 1 Rapid Assessments were an exercise to observe and record visible damage to buildings. The information gathered was then to be used by Civil Defence and other authorities to direct and prioritise further action.

Yours faithfully

imon Wall

