



Canterbury Earthquakes Royal Commission Te Komihana Rūwhenua a te Karauna

2 November 2011

Glen Hughes Southern Regional Manager Opus International Consultants Ltd

By email: glen.hughes@opus.co.nz

Dear Sir

Further to the writer's recent telephone conversation with you, I outline below a number of queries in relation to buildings the Royal Commission will be conducting hearings into in the week of 12 December 2011 and would appreciate you obtaining responses:

601/601A Colombo Street

On 31 January 2011 and 14 February 2011 Paul Campbell conducted an inspection of this building and completed an "Engineer's Re-inspection of Damaged Buildings" form (copies **enclosed** for your information).

Could you ask Mr Campbell for an outline of his involvement with the building, by 10 November 2011, which outline should include the following:

- Mr Campbell's full name, qualifications and years of experience.
- 2. Outline of Mr Campbell's position at that time in relation to the Christchurch City Council and the nature of his instructions in relation to that inspection.
- 3. Given the conclusion recorded on the form to the effect that the building as a whole was compromised, any conclusions Mr Campbell reached in relation to the nature and extent of protection fencing required.
- 4. Details of Mr Campbell's involvement in determining the nature and extent of that protection fencing and ensuring that it was put into effect.
- Whether the factors referred to in paragraph 6 above were relevant and if so, were taken into account.

Hearings

As indicated, the Commission will be conducting hearings into the above buildings in the week of 12 December 2011:

- 593 and 595 Colombo St on Monday 12 December 2011 at 10am
- 601/601A Colombo St on Monday 12 December 2011 at 2.15pm
- 603; 605-613 Colombo St on Tuesday 13 December at 9.30am
- 382 Colombo St on Wednesday 14 December 2011 at 2.15pm.

It will be necessary for Messrs Campbell and Ryburn to give evidence in relation to their involvement with the particular buildings.

Please advise, as soon as possible, where summonses can be sent to for these

Thank you for your assistance.

Yours faithfully

Mark/Zarifeh

Counsel Assisting

Canterbury Earthquakes Royal Commission

16 November 2011



Mr Mark Zarifeh
Counsel Assisting
Canterbury Earthquake Royal Commission
P.O. Box 14053
CHRISTCHURCH 8544

Dear Sir

I refer to your letter of 2 November 2011 with a number of queries in relation to certain buildings:

- a) 187 St Asaph Street
- b) 601/601A Colombo Street
- c) 603 Colombo Street
- d) 605 Colombo Street and
- e) 382 Colombo Street.

We note receipt of your further letters dated 7 and 10 November 2011 requesting further information about 116 Lichfield Street and 382 Colombo Street. You have asked for a response to these requests by 18 November. We need more time to answer those requests and propose responding by 22 November and that our response will deal with both sets of questions relating to 382 Colombo Street (rather than provide two responses in relation to the same property). We trust that this will not inconvenience the Commission.

Our responses to your 2 November 2011 letter (other than in relation 382 Colombo Street) are set out below. Some of your questions have general application and our responses to these are set out first.

Responses of general application

Messrs Campbell and Ryburn

Paul Arthur Campbell, BSc, BE (Civil) (Hons), MIPENZ, CPEng, 14 years experience.

Mark John Ryburn, BE (Civil) (Hons), GIPENZ, 11 years experience.

Outline of Campbell's and Ryburn's position (question 2 on pages 1 and 2 of your letter)

Messrs Campbell and Ryburn were at the relevant times employed by Opus. They were seconded to the Christchurch City Council to work under the direction of its building recovery office (BRO).

General inspection considerations (question 6 page 1/question 5 page 2)

The following answers apply generally to each site to which your questions relate.

- 1. Yes, subject to the qualification that the re-inspections carried out by Messrs Campbell and Ryburn were limited, they generally involved exterior observations only, and they were not detailed structural engineering evaluations.
- 2. No.
- 3. They received instructions from the Council directing them to inspect particular sites. They were provided with copies of the form entitled "Engineers Re Inspection of Damaged Buildings" completed by the Council as to the addresses to be inspected. They were not provided with prescriptive information about building standards or the inspection of buildings following an earthquake. Your general reference to "information" is otherwise difficult to respond to. Please let us know if there is anything more specific you would like to know.
- 4. They were generally aware of the existence of information of this nature, but they were not provided with specific information by other parties for the purpose of carrying out reinspections. Again, you may wish to refine the scope of your question.
- 5. No.
- 6. They were aware of the Council's Earthquake Prone Building policy but the re-inspection process was not for the purpose of quantifying building strength in terms of the policy.

601/601A Colombo Street

- 1. Answered above.
- 2. Answered above.
- The rear of the building and Mollett Street showed the most significant damage and these
 were adequately fenced given that Mollett Street was cordoned off. The Colombo Street
 façade was also fenced and the footpath closed.
- 4. Mr Campbell carried out two re-inspections on 31 January and 14 February 2011 and submitted reinspection reports to the Council, and his involvement in fencing is set out in the previous answer. He was not involved in the original decisions about the placement of fencing.
- 5. Mr Campbell's response is the same as provided in response to questions 6/5 above.

Yours faithfully
OPUS INTERNATIONAL CONSULTANTS LTD

Glen Hughes

SOUTHERN REGIONAL MANAGER