

Reasons for requiring new design-levels for Christchurch region

- **Greatly increased seismicity rates compared to low pre-September 2010 rates**
 - **sequence likely to continue at reducing rates for years rather than months**
- **Stronger ground motions in CBD than given by models**
- **Possible causes**
 - **stress-drops**
 - **directivity**
 - **site effects (long-period peak)**

New interim seismic hazard model for Christchurch

- **Accounts for ongoing earthquake sequence**
 - includes decreasing rates with time
- **Shallow depth**
 - 5 km rather than 10 km minimum in model
- **Increases ground-motions**
 - uses increased stress-drop, possibly surrogate for other effects such as directivity for which suitable models not readily available
- **Adjusts for dominance of magnitude 5-6 events**
 - advice from Engineering Advisory Group that magnitudes less than 5.5 of little significance for engineered structures
- **Limited to 1.5s period maximum until long-period modelling of site effects available**

Maximum magnitudes used for distributed seismicity in hazard calculations

- **Seismicity model consists of fault sources (from geology) and a grid of distributed seismicity (from historical earthquake catalogue)**
- **Maximum magnitude of 7.0 in the Christchurch area for grid sources in 2000/2002 National Seismic Hazard Model (NSHM) used to develop NZS1170**
- **Larger magnitudes were associated with specific known faults e.g. Porters-Grey M7.5, Alpine M8.1**
- **Maximum magnitude for distributed seismicity increased to 7.2 in 2010 update of NSHM**
- **Post-February time-varying model for Canterbury has magnitudes up to 7.9 for distributed seismicity**


Minimum allowable Z-factor = 0.13 from magnitude 6.5 earthquake at 20 km distance

- Z-factor usually corresponds to a spectral acceleration with an annual exceedance probability of 1/500
- Minimum allowable value $Z=0.13$ based on scenario earthquake motions
- Corresponds to 2/3 the 84th-percentile (one standard deviation above the median) motions for a magnitude 6.5 earthquake at 20 km distance
- Derived from survivability level in earthquakes that may not be apparent from geology in lowest seismicity regions
- 2/3 factor to convert from survivability to design-level Ultimate Limit State motions
- Comes into play only in lowest seismicity regions
 - north of Bombay Hills, and in south-eastern Otago
 - Christchurch $Z=0.22$ well above $Z=0.13$

Preliminary results $Z=0.3$

- Engineering Advisory Group requested appropriate Z-factor (sets level of design spectrum) **to use with NZS1170 Deep Soil spectral shape** (site conditions appropriate for most of Christchurch CBD)
- Usual normalisation is in terms of **Shallow Soil** spectral values
- Initial results gave $Z=0.3$ using average seismicity rate over next 50 years
 - 50 years is default design life for most structures
 - $Z=0.22$ in NZS1170
 - approximately 0.4 (or greater) for CBD motions in February

Initial hazard spectrum and Z=0.3 Deep Soil spectrum



Intended for
engineered structures
0.5s-1.5s period
1/500 average annual
exceedance probability
(AEP) over next 50 years


Excludes long-period
site effect around
2.5-4s

Latest model

- Latest model raises $Z=0.30$ to range $Z=0.34-0.41$
 - resetting of seismic activity following magnitude 6.0 aftershock on 13 June 2011
 - adjustment of some parameters from US to NZ defaults in new software (NZ uses larger rather than geometric mean of 2 horizontal components)
- Wellington $Z=0.4$
- February CBD motions approximately $Z=0.4$ or greater

1/500 AEP hazard spectrum over next 50 years and NZS1170 deep soil $Z=0.34$ and 0.41 spectra

Hazard spectrum and NZS1170 $Z=0.34$ and 0.41 spectra



$Z_{min}=0.34$

from matching at 1.5s

$Z_{max}=0.41$

from matching at 1s

NZS1170 spectral shape
differs from hazard
spectrum


Long-period site-effect
not included

$Z=0.34$ is lower bound for period range 0.5s-1.5s (Z_{min})

Time-variation of Z-factor

- **Decreasing seismicity causes Z-factor to decrease with time**
- **Current value greater than $Z=0.34$ average over next 50-years**
- **Later falls below $Z=0.34$ average**

Variation with Z-factor over time



Z=0.34 average for next 50 yrs

Z=0.48 for 12 months

from 1 October 2011

Drops to 0.34 in year 8 (2019)

Z=0.3 beyond year 20

(close after year 15)