## Matthew Gerstenberger <m.gerstenberger@gns.cri.nz>

13/10/2011 10:57

Hi Terry,

To Terry Webb <T.Webb@gns.cri.nz> cc
Subject Fwd: Aftershock info for Geonet website.

Here is an email I sent of Sept 10 to Richard Smith that includes probabilities of  $\ensuremath{\text{M}{>}6}$ 

On Thu, 09 Sep 2010 17:01:50 Matthew Gerstenberger <m.gerstenberger@gns.cri.nz> wrote to Richard.Smith@dia.govt.nz, k.berryman@gns.cri.nz, Bronwyn Davies <B.Davies@gns.cri.nz>: > Hi Kelvin and Richard, > Attached is some detail about aftershocks that is intended to go on the > Geonet page in addition to the press release we are working on with John > Callan. It is intended for people who are searching out extra information > about the aftershocks (and have been calling Geonet, etc.). The social science recommendation is that we should be providing what we know in terms of some basic numbers. Although we, as scientists, are well The social aware > that more M5s are likely to come, we do not want the occurrence of two > more in the next week to be a surprise to the public. > The .doc are some words that will go in as an initial explanation and will > later be linked to the data. > The Table is not properly formatted at this point and is to show the > information we would provide. We plan to update the table daily, e.g. the > probability of M>6 will 7% by tomorrow. > The figure is an example of what we are considering, but we may go without > figures (this is the one from the press release). The caption is: > This graphic shows the modelled number of aftershocks of magnitude 5 and > greater per day for the next month following the magnitude 7.1 Darfield > earthquake. The black line is what scientists have modelled as the average > daily expected number of aftershocks. > On most days, the number of magnitude 5 or larger aftershocks should fall > within the blue shaded area. The yellow stars show the number of actual > aftershocks on each of the first five days following the main shock. In the > next seven days the model forecasts roughly two aftershocks of magnitude > five or greater. > (the number of observed earthquakes on the first day needs to be reduced to > 4 in the figure after Geonet updated the catalogue today) > Thanks. > Matt & Annemarie

Notice: This email and any attachments are confidential. If received in error please destroy and immediately notify us. Do not copy or disclose the contents.